

\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2939/2016

JAI KISHAN BALMIKI

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Sumit Chaudhary with Mr.
Bharat Gupta, Advs. and
Petitioner in person.

versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms. Rajni Gupta, APP with SI
Uday Singh, PS Bawana.
R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

21.09.2016

%

By the present petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.20/2008 under Sections 420/406/468/471/120B IPC registered at PS Bawana on the complaint of respondent No.2 and proceedings pursuant thereto as the parties have settled the matter.

Learned APP for the State on instructions submits that in the above noted FIR the petitioner and his father Prabhu Dayal were named however before charge sheet could be filed, Prabhu Dayal passed away and thus only petitioner was charge sheeted in the above noted FIR and respondent No.2 is only complainant/victim.

Respondent No.2 is present in Court and is identified by the investigating officer. He states that he has settled the matter with the petitioner and does not wish to pursue the above noted FIR and proceedings

pursuant thereto.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

Consequently, FIR No.20/2008 under Sections 420/406/468/471/120B IPC registered at PS Bawana, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

0 The petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

SEPTEMBER 21, 2016
‘v mittal’