

\$~55

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Judgment delivered on: 03.08.2016

+ W.P.(C) 6530/2016

UMME FAIYZA

..... Petitioner

versus

MANAVA BHARATI INDIA INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL
AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Advocates who appeared in this case:

For the Petitioner

:

Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Advocate.

For the Respondents

:

Mr. R.K. Vats with Ms. Kumari Alka, Advocates for
respondent No.1.

Ms. Aayushi Gupta, Advocate for Mr. Raman Duggal,
Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 4.

CORAM:-

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

JUDGMENT

03.08.2016

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed seeking quashing of the action of the respondent No.1 school in cancelling the admission of the petitioner on the ground that the income certificate furnished at the time of seeking admission was fake and forged. Learned counsel for the respondent No.1 school submits that till date no admission has been cancelled even though show cause notice was issued.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the income of the

parents of the petitioner is less than Rs. One lakh per annum which is below the prescribed limit for the Economically Weaker Section (EWS) category. Fresh certificate dated 05.05.2016 has been filed along with the petition. The respondent no. 2 was directed to verify the correctness of the certificate. The income certificate has already been verified and the verification report is already on record.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner relies on various judgments passed by this Court in similar circumstances whereby the school authorities were directed not to cancel the admission of the minor child on the ground of misdeeds of the father of the child. Learned counsel for the respondent school states that the seats in EWS category are still available. One such Judgment relied upon is dated 22.03.2016 in W.P.(C) 2219/2016, titled as **‘Master Jai Raikwar & Ors. Vs. The Heritage School & Ors.’**.

4. As the issue involves the education of a minor and the minor falls in the eligible category and an income certificate certifying the said fact has been furnished and no fault can be attributed to the minor, in the facts of the case, a lenient view is required to be taken. It is thus directed that the admission of the petitioner be restored and not cancelled, subject to deposit of a penalty of Rs. 5,000/- by the father of the petitioner with the Lok Nayak Jai Prakash Hospital, Delhi within two weeks. The hospital shall utilize the amount for providing treatment to the persons falling under the EWS category.

5. The petitioner shall also be entitled to all the benefits/entitlements

under the said category. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms.

6. It is clarified that if the new income certificate furnished by the petitioner is found to be fictitious or not correct on any account, it shall be open to the respondents to cancel the admission of the petitioner in accordance with law and no special equity shall be claimed by the petitioner by virtue of the present order.

Dasti under the signatures of the Court Master.

AUGUST 03, 2016
st

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

