

\$~8 & 9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ BAIL APPLN. 1500/2016

REENA Petitioner

Through : Mr.Rahul Sharma, Advocate.

versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT DELHI) Respondent

Through : Mr.Arun Kr.Sharma, APP.
W/SI Rakhi, PS Bharat Nagar.

+ BAIL APPLN. 1501/2016

RAJ KUMARI Petitioner

Through : Mr.Rahul Sharma, Advocate.

versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI) Respondent

Through : Mr.Arun Kr.Sharma, APP.
W/SI Rakhi, PS Bharat Nagar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.P.GARG

ORDER

%

10.11.2016

1. The petitioners seek anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.228/2016 under Sections 341/354B/326B/109/34 IPC registered at Police Station Bharat Nagar. Status report is on record.

2. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have examined the file. By an order dated 27.07.2016, the petitioners were

BAIL APPLN. 1500/2016

Page 1 of 2

3

granted interim protection and were directed to join the investigation as and when required. Undisputedly, the petitioners have since joined the investigation.

3. It is informed that the bail applications filed by other two accused persons i.e. Rajesh and Sushil were withdrawn by them. Status report reveals that both of them subsequently surrendered and were arrested. It is informed that they have since been admitted to bail by the court below.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the role assigned to them, the petitioners are admitted to anticipatory bail and in the event of their arrest, they be released on bail on their furnishing personal bond in the sum of ₹40,000/- each with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the SHO/Investigating Officer with the condition that they shall join the investigation as and when required.

5. The bail applications stand disposed of.


S.P.GARG, J.

NOVEMBER 10, 2016 /sa