

\$~7/16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 6318/2016**

VIRAJ ENTERPRISES

..... Petitioner

versus

THE COMMISSIONER VALUE ADDED TAX

..... Respondent

+ **W.P.(C) 6490/2016**

VIRAJ ENTERPRISES

..... Petitioner

versus

THE COMMISSIONER VALUE ADDED TAX

..... Respondent

Through: Sh. Vinod Srivastava, Advocate, for
petitioners in Item Nos. 7 and 16.

Sh. Gautam Narayan, ASC with Sh. R.A. Iyer,
Advocate, in Item Nos. 7 and 16.

Sh. O.P. Singh, VATO, in Item Nos. 7 and 16.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE DEEPA SHARMA

ORDER

%

02.09.2016

It is stated that the refund with applicable interest has been received according to the respondent's communication. Learned counsel submits that in these circumstances, nothing survives consideration. The writ petitions are accordingly dismissed as infructuous.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

DEEPA SHARMA, J

SEPTEMBER 02, 2016

ájk