

\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 1333/2014 & CrI.M.A.No.14997/2014

JABBAR ALI

..... Appellant

Through : Mr.Vikas Padora, Advocate.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through : Ms.Meenakshi Dahiya, APP.
SI Kamlesh Kumar, PS Ambedkar
Nagar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.P.GARG

ORDER

25.01.2016

%

(1) Present appeal has been preferred by the appellant to challenge the legality and correctness of a judgment dated 12.02.2014 of learned Additional Sessions Judge in Complaint Case No.334/13 registered at Police Station Ambedkar Nagar, Delhi by which he was held guilty for committing offence punishable under Section 135 Electricity Act. He was sentenced to undergo Rigorous Imprisonment for two years and was directed to pay ₹1,40,700/- as fine.

(2) Today learned counsel for the appellant has informed that the appellant has already served out the sentence awarded to him and the

appeal preferred by him has become infructuouos. He accordingly, opts not to pursue the appeal and prays to dismiss it as 'not pressed'.

(3) Nominal roll dated 21.01.2016 reveals that the petitioner has served out the sentence for two years and twenty nine days besides remission for six months and one day. He has since been released from the jail on 18.01.2016 after completion of sentence.

(4) Since the appellant has served out the sentence awarded to him by the Trial Court, he does not press the appeal to be disposed of on merits. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed as infructuous. Pending application(s) also stand disposed of.

(5) Trial Court record (if any) be sent back forthwith along with the copy of the order. Intimation be also sent to the Superintendent Jail

S.P.GARG, J.

JANUARY 25, 2016

sa