

\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.REV.P. 648/2013

VIRESH MOHAN

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr.Akhilesh Arora, Advocate

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

..... Respondents

Represented by: Mr.Amit Ahlawat, APP with SI Punit
Kumar, PS Bawana
Mr.Sahil Malik, Advocate with
Mr.Varun Kalia, Advocate for R-2 to
R-8 and R-10
Mr.Pradeep Kumar Arya, Advocate
for R-9

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP NANDRAJOG

O R D E R

% **09.09.2016**

1. In view of the loose and laconic pleadings in the petition and keeping in view the fact that as per the FIR lodged participation of the accused is not collective from the very inception of the acts; as per the FIR different accused entered the scene at the different time and role of each not being highlighted in the pleadings counsel, seeks leave to withdraw the petition with liberty to file a proper petition indicating the grievance of the petitioner qua the persons named as accused in respect of whom the grievance is of either a charge not being framed or an imperfect charge being framed.
2. Granting liberty as prayed the petition is dismissed as not pressed.

PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, J.

SEPTEMBER 09, 2016/mamta