

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

50

+

O.M.P.(I) (COMM.) 309/2016

SHREE BALAJI TRADERS

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sudhir Naagar, Advocate for
Applicant in IA No. 13754, 13755/2016.

versus

**LTC COMMERCIAL COMPANY PRIVATE
LIMITED**

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Narula with Mr. Sunil
Dalal, Advocates.

CORAM: JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR

ORDER

%

07.11.2016

IA No. 13755/2016 (for exemption)

1. Allowed subject to all just exceptions.

I.A. 13754/2016

2. Notice. Mr. Sanjeev Narula, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the non-Applicant/Respondent.

3. Learned counsel for the parties have been heard.

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner/Applicant states that although the order dated 27th October 2016 begins with the words ‘it is being agreed between the parties’, the Petitioner did not in fact consent to all of the directions that followed the said words.

5. The Court clarifies that even if the Petitioner wishes to withdraw its consent now, the directions issued by the Court by the order dated 27th October 2016 remain as such. The Court is not inclined to vary or modify any of the said directions issued by it.

6. The application is disposed of. Order be given *dasti*.

S.MURALIDHAR, J

NOVEMBER 07, 2016

Rm