

\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.APP.(C) 2/2015**
UNION OF INDIA Appellant

Through : Sh. Satish Aggarwala, Advocate.

versus

C.L.JAIN WOOLEN MILLS Respondent
Through : Sh. Rajesh Rawal, Advocate, for
Respondent No.1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE DEEPA SHARMA

ORDER

% **05.02.2016**

Learned counsel seeks liberty to withdraw the present appeal on being pointed out that it is not maintainable because Section 19 of the *Contempt of Courts Act, 1971* applies only when the order of punishment is in issue. At the same time, given that the appellant is aggrieved by the non-compliance of the order of the learned Single Judge made on 07.11.2013, he seeks liberty to agitate his grievance *vis-a-vis* recovery of the amount pursuant to proceedings for execution of decree which was ultimately set aside.

In the circumstances, the appellant is at liberty to seek its remedies – including under Section 144 CPC. The appeal is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

DEEPA SHARMA, J

FEBRUARY 05, 2016/ajk