

\$~53

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2450/2016**

RANJANA

..... Petitioner

Represented by: **Mr. Gurmeet Singh, Adv.**

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Represented by: **Mr. Ashok Kumar Garg, APP**
for the State alongwith **SI**
Pankaj Pandey, P.S.
Chankyapuri.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

15.07.2016

Crl. M. A. 10500/2016

Exemption allowed subject to just exceptions.

Crl. M.C. 2450/2016

The only grievance of the petitioner against the impugned order is that early hearing of the petitioner in his complaint/application under Section 156(3) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 was dismissed.

The petitioner's complaint came up for hearing before the learned Metropolitan Magistrate on 01st June, 2016. Since neither the complainant nor his counsel was present, the case was adjourned to 19th December, 2016. The petitioner moved an application for early hearing on the ground that the matter was taken up at 12:30 PM instead of 02:00 PM and, thus, he could not be present. The learned trial court noted that no time for taking up the

matter was fixed and it was taken when it reached. Considering the pendency of work before the learned trial court, it was not possible to prepone the date.

I find no reason to disagree with the order of the learned trial court.

The petition is dismissed.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

JULY 15, 2016
‘ssc’