$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ W.P.(C) 3612/2015

VIMLA

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. Bhagwat Pershad Gupta, Adv.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through Counsel for the respondent
(appearance not given.)

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDERMEET KAUR

O R D E R

% 21.07.2016

In pursuance to an order passed by this Court in W.P. (C) No.469/10214 titled Mahender Vs. Govt. of NCT of Delhi and Anr wherein a direction had been given to the petitioner to approach the competent body by filing an appropriate affidavit with regard to the status of his unacquired land, the said affidavit had been placed on record before the competent body on 31.01.2014. Para 5 of the said affidavit is relevant. It is reproduced herein as under:-

"That as per the de-notification dated 25.01.1995, whereby 506 bigha 18 biswa was de-notified, the said entire de-notified/unacquired land of village Chuck Chilla, in which the deponent has some share, is under river Yamuna and the same cannot be used for any residential/commercial purpose."

Be that as it may, the order rejecting the plea of the petitioner seeking an alternative plot had been passed on 24.01.2014 without

considering the affidavit dated 31.01.2014. This is an admitted position. The affidavit of the petitioner which is already a part of record of the respondent shall be considered by the respondent in accordance with law and a speaking order shall be passed upon it within an outer limit of three months from today and the same shall be communicated to the petitioner.

No further orders are called for on this petition. It is disposed of.

INDERMEET KAUR, J

JULY 21, 2016
A