

\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2629/2016

MUZAMIL @ SAHIL & ORS

..... Petitioner

Represented by Ms. Neetu Singh, Adv.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI & ORS

..... Respondent

Represented by Mr. Kewal Singh Ahuja, APP
with SI Vishvendra, PS Seema
Puri.

Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

27.07.2016

%

CrI.M.A. 11276/2016

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CrI.M.C. 2629/2016

By the present petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR no. 553/2012 under Sections 308/34 IPC registered at PS Seema Puri on the complaint of respondent No.2 Sachin S/o Om Prakash Sharma.

Learned APP for the State on instructions from investigating officer submits that besides the four petitioners there is no other accused in the FIR and besides the two respondents i.e. respondent Nos. 2 and 3 both namely Sachin Sharma S/o Om Prakash Sharma and Bhupender respectively there is no other victim.

Both respondent Nos. 2 and 3 are present in Court and are identified by the investigating officer. They state that they have settled the matter with

the petitioners and do not wish to pursue the abovementioned FIR and proceedings pursuant thereto.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

Consequently, FIR no. 553/2012 under Sections 308/34 IPC registered at PS Seema Puri, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed, subject to each of the petitioner depositing a sum of ₹10,000/- with the Juvenile Justice Fund of Delhi High Court maintained by the Registrar General within two weeks.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

The petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

JULY 27, 2016

‘vkm’