

\$~55

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 2101/2016

VIKRAM KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr.K.Singhal, Advocate.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through : Mr.Ashish Aggarwal, ASC with
Mr.Piyush Singhal, Advocate.
SI Jai Singh, PS Tilak Nagar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.P.GARG

ORDER

% **22.07.2016**

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed on behalf of the petitioner for seeking parole for a period of two months. Status report not filed.

2. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have examined the file. Nominal Roll dated 15.06.2016 reveals that the petitioner was convicted under Section 376(2)(F)/506/377/324 IPC. He was awarded various prison terms which were ordered to be run consecutively. In Crl.A. 36/2011, the sentences were ordered to be run concurrently.

3. Nominal Roll further reveals that petitioner has undergone nine years, two months and fifteen days incarceration besides remission for one year and seven months as on 15.06.2016. He was granted furlough only on 30.05.2016 till 14.06.2016. The petitioner's parole was rejected on 25.05.2016. Apparently, after the rejection of the parole application on 25.05.2016, the petitioner availed furlough for the period from 30.05.2016 to 14.06.2016. Nothing is on record to show this period was utilized for the purposes mentioned in the present writ petition.
4. Considering the gravity of the offence, no sufficient ground for grant of parole. The writ petition is dismissed.
5. Copy of the order be sent to the Superintendent Jail for information.

S.P.GARG, J

JULY 22, 2016 / tr