

\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7031/2013

KUSHAL PAL SINGH

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr.Virender Singh Kadian,
Advocate

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

..... Respondents

Represented by: Mr.Rajan Sabharwal &
Ms.Kaanan Gupta, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP NANDRAJOG

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE PRATIBHA RANI

ORDER

%

20.07.2016

1. As we proceeded to hear arguments in the writ petition learned counsel for the petitioner concedes that general averments sans material particulars would not entitle the petitioner to the relief prayed for.
2. In essence the petitioner claims benefit of being Ex-Servicemen as also a member of Scheduled Castes. Petitioner wants employment on the strength of being a member of Scheduled Castes but within the quota prescribed for Ex-Servicemen.
3. The grievance appears to be that either all vacancies for Scheduled Castes and in particular reserved for Ex-Servicemen have not been filled up. The further grievance appears to be that said posts have been filled up by unreserved candidates.
4. The general averments are sans any particulars. The writ petition does

not implead such general category candidates who would require to be boarded out if the writ petition succeeds.

5. Counsel prays that leave be granted to withdraw the writ petition with right of the petitioner reserved to file a fresh petition on the same cause.

6. Granting liberty as prayed for the writ petition is dismissed as not pressed.

7. No costs.

PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, J.

PRATIBHA RANI, J.

JULY 20, 2016

'pg'