

\$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2506/2016

HARNEET KAUR

..... Petitioner

Through

Mr. Aditya Singla, Ms. Supriya
Juneja, Ms. Mehaak Jaggi and Ms.
Urvi Mohan, Advocates

Versus

THE STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI) & ANR..... Respondents

Through Mr. Ashok K.Garg, Addl.PP for State

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. K. CHAWLA

ORDER

%

29.05.2017

Crl.M.A.No. 9389/2017 (Exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

Crl.M.A.No. 9388/2017 (for clarification of order dt. 30.9.16)

By the application, clarification is sought by the applicant in relation to order dated 30.9.2016 dismissing an application seeking cancellation of bail granted to the respondent no.2. In para 5 of the said order, it is recorded as follows:-

“Considering the fact that FDR for Rs.10 lakhs and articles as per admitted list have been handed over to the complainant through investigating officer, I find no reason to cancel the bail granted to the respondent No.2 on the ground that he violated the condition on which bail was granted to him.”

Though the above said observations indicate that the FDR of

Rs.10 lacs has come to be handed over to the complainant, learned Addl.PP on instructions from the IO, concedes that the FDR is lying with the IO only and that is the only clarification sought. In view thereof, order dated 30.9.2016 be read accordingly.

At this stage, learned counsel for the applicant states that the FDR of Rs.10 lacs lying with the IO may be released to the applicant/complainant. It is a subject, which requires to be agitated by the applicant before the Court, which granted the bail order and imposed the conditions. Suffice to say, the bail order with the stipulations was passed by the ld. ASJ only.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

A. K. CHAWLA, J

MAY 29, 2017

mw