

\$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RFA(OS) 65/2016 & CM 31175/2016, 31177/2016, 31178/2016**

Reserved on : 02nd September, 2016

Pronounced on: 29th September, 2016

RAMESH CHANDER SAREEN Appellant

Through: Mr. Rajender Singh advocate along
with appellant in person.

versus

NAVIN SAREEN & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SUNITA GUPTA

SANJIV KHANNA, J.

For the reasons set out in our judgment and order passed today in RFA(OS)No.38/2016, the present appeal filed by Ramesh Chander Sareen has to be dismissed. In fact, when the appeal had come for hearing, the counsel for the appellant in the present appeal had simply relied upon the arguments addressed by the appellant herein and the counsel for the appellants in RFA(OS)No.38/2016. Further, the appellant herein has not questioned and challenged the preliminary decree of partition and had only raised objections to the impleadment of Navneet Singh Anand. The appeal

is accordingly dismissed in terms of our decision in RFA(OS)No.38/2016.
There will be no order as to costs.

-sd-
(SANJIV KHANNA)
JUDGE

-sd-
(SUNITA GUPTA)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 29, 2016
ssn/VKR

