

\$~36.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1990/2016

SUBASH SHARDA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mukul Tomer, Advocate with
Mr. Arvind Sharma, Advocate.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI) & ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Mahajan, Additional
Standing Counsel for State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

ORDER

15.07.2016

%

Issue notice. Mr. Mahajan accepts notice on behalf of the State.

The petitioner has filed this petition to seek a direction to provide protection to his life and liberty. The case of the petitioner is that he is married to respondent no.6 and due to marital discord, there is a threat to his life and liberty by respondent nos.5 to 7. Though the father-in-law of the petitioner has been impleaded as respondent no.5, it is informed that he had passed away a few days go. The other private respondents apart from the wife is the mother-in-law, who is now a widow.

A perusal of the petition and the annexures filed with them do not disclose any ground for entertaining any apprehension by the petitioner. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

JULY 15, 2016

sr