$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ ITA 642/2016 & C.M.30983/2016

COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX-III ..... Appellant
Through: Mr.Ruchir Bhatia, Advocate

versus

SAMSUNG INDIA ELECTRONICS PVT. LTD. ..... Respondent
Through: Mr.Mayank Nagi, Advocate

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE DEEPA SHARMA

<u>O R D E R</u>

% 21.10.2016

The present appeal under Section 260A of the Income Tax Act is highly belated – by 975 days. There is hardly any explanation for the delay. The appeal was filed on 19.10.2013 but appears to have been kept objected to. The Income Tax department does not seem to have any clue about the filing of the appeal or the objections raised by the registry. It refers to re-organisation of its panel and cites the pendency of large number of appeals marked defective. The reasons can hardly be considered sufficient cause to condone the delay.

The application and the appeal are accordingly dismissed.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

DEEPA SHARMA, J

OCTOBER 21, 2016/rb