

43#~\$

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1950/2016**

PAPPU

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Sumeet Verma and Mr.
Abhijeet Sharma, Advocates.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms. Richa Kapoor, Additional
Standing Counsel with SI
Roshan Lal, PS Nangloi.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

12.07.2016

%

Issue notice.

Learned Additional Standing Counsel accepts notice on behalf of the
State.

The parole application of the petitioner was dismissed by the State for
the following reasons:

*“the convict can harm the law & order in the society.
The possibility of jumping the parole cannot be ruled out.
Further, convict has last availed 05 weeks furlough during
the year 2015 including last availed 03 weeks furlough upto
19.12.2015 by the order of DG (P).”*

A perusal of the nominal roll of the petitioner reveals that the
petitioner was awarded furlough thrice in the year 2015 and once in the year
2016 and every time he has been surrendering in time. Besides, the
W.P.(CRL) 1950/2016

Page 1 of 2

petitioner has been granted parole as well when he surrendered in time. Thus the order of the competent authority dismissing the parole on the ground that there is possibility of jumping the parole is erroneous and without any basis to this extent, as no material has been shown to this Court to base this apprehension of the State.

Be that as it may, the petitioner has already availed furlough thrice totalling to five weeks in the year 2015 and for two weeks in the year 2016. Thus I am not inclined to grant parole to the petitioner for the purpose of construction of new house or partition of the property.

Petition is dismissed.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

JULY 12, 2016

‘vn’