

\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2345/2016

RENU JINDAL & ORS

..... Petitioners

Through

Mr.Amit Chadha, Sr. Adv. with
Mr.Alok Kumar Aggarwal,
Mr.Gaurav Tanwar, Ms.Isha
Aggarwal & Ms.Suchika, Advs.

versus

THE STATE & ORS

..... Respondents

Through

Mr.Mukesh Kumar, APP for State
along with SI Rajendra, PS Vikas Puri
in person.
Mr.Naveen Sharma, Adv. with
Mr.Yogesh Chauhan, Adv. for
respondents.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN SINGH

ORDER

%

10.06.2016

Crl. M.A. No.9863/2016 (exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to just exceptions.

The application is disposed of.

CRL.M.C. No.2345/2016

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.1166/2015, under Sections 420/406/34 IPC, registered at P.S. Vikaspuri, New Delhi.

Brief facts of the case are that in the year 2011, co-accused Mr.Surender Jindal, who was known to one of complainants/respondents No.2 to 11, namely, Mr.Surender Kumar Bansal had collected money from the complainants for 'KITI Scheme' but thereafter he failed to furnish the accounts. It is alleged in the FIR that co-accused Mr.Surender Jindal and his family members (petitioners herein) suddenly disappeared. On the basis of the complaint made by respondents No.2 to 11, the abovementioned FIR was registered against the petitioners on 3rd December, 2015. It is stated that the accused Mr.Surender Jindal has passed away in judicial custody on 31st May, 2016. Petitioner No.1 is his wife and petitioners No.2 & 3 are his sons.

During the pendency of the proceedings, the petitioners and respondents No.2 to 11 have settled their disputes amicably. The Compromise Deeds were executed between the petitioners and respondents No.2 to 8 and with respondents No.9 to 11 on 27th May, 2016 and 7th June, 2016 respectively. Copies of the said deeds are placed on the record. The parties shall be bound by the terms and conditions of the settlement. In fact, the said settlement has been acted upon between the parties. The petitioners have already paid the entire settled amount to respondents No.2 to 11.

The petitioners as well as respondents No.2 to 11 are present in Court who are duly identified by their respective counsel. The respondents No.2 to 11/complainants have confirmed the settlement as well as receiving of the entire amount from the petitioners in terms thereof. They have no objection if the prayer made in the petition is allowed.

In view of the averments made in the petition as well as the settlement arrived at between the parties, the FIR No.1166/2015, under Sections

420/406/34 IPC, registered at P.S. Vikaspuri, New Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

Crl. M.A. No.9862/2016 (for interim relief)

Since the main petition has already been disposed of, the present application has become infructuous and the same is disposed of as such.

**MANMOHAN SINGH
(VACATION JUDGE)**

JUNE 10, 2016/ka