

\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5496/2016**

YOGESH MITTAL

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Ms.Anjali Chopra, Adv.

versus

INDIAN OVERSEAS BANK

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr.Karan Khanna, Ms.Asmita
Kumar, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP NANDRAJOG

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

03.06.2016

%

CM 22906/2016

Exemption allowed subject to just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 5496/2016

1. In the absence of a Presiding Officer DRAT at Delhi concurrent jurisdiction has been vested in the DRAT at Allahabad. The remedy of travelling to Allahabad from Delhi is not such an onerous remedy as would warrant instant writ petition to be entertained by us.
2. We decline to entertain the writ petition because there is an efficacious alternative remedy to challenge the order dated January 18, 2016 passed by the DRT-III Delhi.
3. No cost.

W.P.(C) 5496/2016

page 1 of 2

CM 22905/2016 (stay)

Dismissed.

PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, J.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

JUNE 03, 2016
‘ga’