

\$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

24.

+ **W.P.(C) 5585/2016**

SHAILA ENTERPRISES Petitioner

Through Mr Vineet Bhatia, Advocate with
Ms Neha Chaudhary, Advocates.

Versus

COMMISSIONER OF VALUE ADDED TAX Respondent

Through Mr Satyakam, ASC for GNCTD with
Mr Hrishikesh Jha, Advocate.

CORAM:

JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR

JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

%

03.06.2016

1. Notice. Mr Satyakam accepts notice on behalf of the Respondent.
2. The Petitioner's request for refund for the 4th quarter of 2013-14 has not yet been processed. Mr Satyakam assures the Court within the period of four weeks from today, the Petitioner's application would be processed by the Department of Trade and Taxes and the admissible amount of refund together with interest will be released to the Petitioner.
3. If there is non-compliance of the above assurance, it will be open to the Petitioner to see appropriate remedies in accordance with law.

4. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

S.MURALIDHAR, J

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

JUNE 03, 2016

pkv