

\$~2.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LPA 376/2016

AJAY KUMAR KAUSHIK

..... Appellant

Through Mr. K. Venkatraman, Advocate.

versus

UNIVERSITY OF DELHI & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through Mr. Prashant Sivarajan, Advocate for Mr.
Ankur Chhibber, Advocate for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Aakash Yadav, Advocate for Ms. Beenashaw
N. Soni, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SUNITA GUPTA

ORDER

%

11.07.2016

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that his application for stay CM No. 16627/2016 has not been dealt with and examined in the order dated 25th May, 2016.

After some hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is not asking for stay of the examination, but if the petitioner succeeds in the writ petition, he should not be denied relief because appointments are made. It will be open to the petitioner to raise the said contention before the learned single Judge. In case the petitioner succeeds, then the Court will be

entitled to pass appropriate orders.

The appeal is disposed of.

SANJIV KHANNA, J.

SUNITA GUPTA, J.

JULY 11, 2016
VKR