

\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1765/2016

JAI NARAIN MALIK

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr.Puneet Singhal, Advocate with
petitioner in person.

versus

STATE OF THE NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through : Ms.Richa Kapoor, ASC.
SI Bhagat Singh, PS Ashok Vihar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.P.GARG

ORDER

%

31.05.2016

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed on behalf of the petitioner for seeking parole for a period of four weeks. Status report not filed.

2. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and have examined the file. On perusal of the file, it reveals that the petitioner has been convicted under Sections 376/506 II IPC in case FIR No.162/95, PS Ashok Vihar. He has been sentenced to undergo RI for ten years with fine. Record further reveals that the petitioner had filed W.P.(Crl.) 825/2016 and by an order dated 04.05.2016, the petitioner was granted parole by the co-ordinate Bench for three

weeks from the date of his release on certain conditions. Earlier, he was granted parole from 01.04.2015 to 23.04.2015 which was extended up to 06.05.2015. The time period whereby the parole was granted is to expire on 01.06.2016.

3. Since the petitioner has already been granted three weeks parole by the co-ordinate Bench, there is no sufficient ground to further grant the parole for four weeks as claimed when the earlier parole has not even come to an end. Grant of parole should not be a regular feature.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I find no sufficient ground to grant further parole to the petitioner.

5. The writ petition is dismissed.

S.P.GARG, J.

MAY 31, 2016 / tr