

\$~54

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 6085/2016

MAHAVIR SINGH

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr.Bharat Bhushan, Advocate

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

..... Respondents

Represented by: Mr.Vijay Joshi, Sr. Counsel
instructed by Ms.Debajyoti
Behuria, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP NANDRAJOG

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE PRATIBHA RANI

ORDER

%

18.07.2016

CM No.24942/2016

Allowed.

W.P.(C) 6085/2016

1. The ill drafted writ petition, after hearing counsel for the petitioner, would suffer a dismissal on account of inchoate pleadings and lack of pleadings attacking the reasons in the impugned order.
2. Faced as aforesaid learned counsel seeks leave to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to file a fresh petition.
3. Granting liberty as prayed for and keeping open the issue of delay and latches for the reasons the final order passed on February 28, 2013 is being challenged after more than three years, requiring petitioner to explain the

delay if a fresh petition is filed, instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn with remedy available to file a fresh petition.

4. The issue of territorial jurisdiction is also kept pending.
5. No costs.

PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, J.

PRATIBHA RANI, J.

JULY 18, 2016
'pg'