

\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CM(M) 1191/2008**
BADLEY RAM & ORS

..... Petitioners

Through Mr. Madhu Sudhan, Advocate

versus

SHYAM LAL & ORS

..... Respondents

Through Mr. Aditya Singh and Mr. Shitiz
Agnihotri, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDERMEET KAUR

ORDER

%

21.03.2016

CM No. 16954/2012, CM No. 11701/2015, CM No. 22849/2015,
CM No. 22850/2015 , CM No. 22851/2015 and CM (M) 1191/2008

This court on the last date has noted that the impugned order has been passed on an application under Order 22 Rule 4 CPC. Learned counsel for the respondent on the last date has pointed out that the petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India is not maintainable against the impugned order; an appeal under Order XLIII Rule 1(k) is the right remedy.

Petition dismissed with liberty granted to the petitioner to approach the appropriate forum as permitted under law.

INDERMEET KAUR, J

MARCH 21, 2016

gb