

\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 6477/2013 and CM No. 14105/2013

ANJU GUPTA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr Sanjay Bhatt and Mr Abhishek Anand
and Ms Vidushi Shubham, Advs.

versus

RAJIV GUPTA & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Dr. Anurag Kumar Agarwal and
Mr Umesh Mishra, Advs for respondent No. 1
Mr Satyakam, Additional Standing Counsel for
Government of NCT of Delhi (Respondent No.3)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE DEEPA SHARMA

ORDER

% **13.01.2016**

After some hearing, learned counsel sought liberty to withdraw the present writ petition. He submitted that the petitioner would seek her remedies by filing an appropriate suit with respect to the signatures on the disputed documents.

Liberty granted; the petitioner is permitted to file a suit in accordance law to establish her claim vis-a-vis the contention that an affidavit was not signed by her. If the occasion so arises, the petitioner may, having regard to the outcome of the said proceedings, approach the Co-operative Society which shall then consider the matter in the light of the final order/decreed passed in the civil suit.

The writ petition along with the pending application is dismissed as withdrawn, but with the above liberty.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

DEEPA SHARMA, J

JANUARY 13, 2016/bg