

51

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 4820/2016

SANJEEV KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. T.N. Saxena, Advocate with
Mr. H.C. Kharbanda, Advocate.

versus

BSES RAJDHANI POWER LTD. & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Puneet K.G., Advocate for Mr. Sunil
Fernandes, Advocate for respondent
No.1.
Mr. Kamal Kant Jha, Advocate for
respondent No.2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

ORDER

%

28.11.2016

After some arguments, it is suggested by learned counsel for petitioner that the present writ petition be treated as a representation to respondent No.1 and be decided by the General Manager of the concerned area.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 has no objection to the same.

Consequently, present writ petition is disposed of with a direction that it be treated as a representation to respondent No.1, whose General Manager shall decide the same after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner as well as the respondents No.4 and 5.

Let a reasoned order be communicated to the petitioner within a period of three months.

MANMOHAN, J

NOVEMBER 28, 2016

js