

\$~1

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 5257/2016

MANJU GUPTA & ANR

..... Petitioners

Through Mr.Lalit Thakru, Advocate.

versus

SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION & ORS

..... Respondents

Through Mr.Kanwal Chaudhary, Advocate
along with Mr.Mohd. Idrish, A.E. for
R-1.
Mr.Animesh Rastogi, Advocate for
R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDERMEET KAUR

ORDER

%

20.07.2016

Petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that in spite of misuse being carried out of the property bearing No.F-36, Ground Floor, Kalkaji, no action has been taken by the competent Body i.e. by the respondent.

Respondent no.1 on advance notice has put in appearance. His submission is that the property was inspected on four occasions and lastly it was inspected yesterday. No misuse has been noted in any portion of the property. In fact basement is lying vacant. This submissions has been made by learned counsel for the respondent under instructions from the Assistant Engineer Mr.Mohd. Idrish. His further submission is that in terms of the photographs annexed by the

petitioner which refers to a Fashion's Closet on the front portion of the property but that portion was lying locked. The same shall also be inspected and in case misuse is noted in the front portion of the property the respondent undertakes to take action in accordance with law. Additional submission of the learned counsel for the respondent is that it appears to be a personal vendetta between the petitioner and respondent no.3. In fact, there were earlier litigations inter se the parties. Directions to the SDMC were given vide order dated 07.4.2015 passed in W.P.(C) No.2082/2014. This Court noting the above facts need not to delve any further into this aspect of the matter. The response of the respondent and the undertaking has noted supra herein is taken on record.

No further orders are called for in this petition.

Petition disposed of in the above terms.

INDERMEET KAUR, J

JULY 20, 2016

ndn