

\$~44.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1068/2016**

PRITAM VAID

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anil Panwar and Mani
Chaudhary, Advocates

versus

THE STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI) Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajat Katyal, APP with Ms.
Ankita Goyal, Adv.
Mr. Priyank Kher for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

ORDER

26.10.2016

%

I have allowed the bail application preferred by the co-accused, who is the son of the petitioner in the present case. The son of the petitioner, who is married to the complainant, and on account of the matrimonial disputes between them, the FIR No.325/2016 under Section 406/498A/34 IPC and section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act at PS Prashant Vihar came to be registered.

The anticipatory bail application of the petitioner's son has been allowed by a separate order. For the reasons stated therein, the present application is also allowed on the same terms and conditions.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

OCTOBER 26, 2016

sr