

\$~2

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(OS) 2552/2007

SH. ASHOK KUMAR GUPTA

..... Plaintiff

Through Mr. Ankit Prakash, Adv.

versus

SMT. VIDYA WATI & OTHERS

..... Defendants

Through Ms. Deepti Gupta, proxy counsel for
LRs of deceased defendant no.7
already impleaded

CORAM:

**ASHUTOSH KUMAR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

ORDER

%

19.05.2016

CS(OS) 2552/2007

As per office note, process fee filed for service of defendant no.6 by publication has been returned under objection.

Learned counsel for plaintiff has stated that the said objection could not be removed as inadvertently in IA No. 15649/2015 under Order V Rule 20 r/w section 151 CPC, it was mentioned that defendant no. 6 may be permitted to be served by publication whereas in the new amended memo of parties, the said defendant is defendant no.5 and that he would move an appropriate application, rectifying the said mistake.

Learned counsels for parties have jointly stated that the matter needs to be transferred to Patiala House Courts, New Delhi District

Contd.....2

where the territorial and pecuniary jurisdiction lies. Accordingly, in view of notification no.27187/DHC/Org1. dated 24.11.2015, the present matter stands transferred. Parties are directed to appear before the Court of Ld. District Judge, New Delhi District, Patiala House Court Complex, Delhi on 23.09.2016 at 10.00 a.m.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

MAY 19, 2016/cd