

\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1925/2016**

KALIMUDDIN

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Waseemuddin, Adv.

versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Ravi Nayak, APP with SI
Pankaj Gulliya, PS Jamia
Nagar.
R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

22.09.2016

%

By the present petition the Petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No. 31/14 under Sections 354D/506/509IPC read with Section 66A of the IT Act registered at PS Jamia Nagar, Delhi on the complaint of Respondent No.2 on the ground that the parties have settled the matter.

Learned APP for the State on instructions from Investigating Officer submits that in the above-noted FIR petitioner is the only accused and respondent No.2 the only complainant/victim.

Respondent No. 2 is present in Court and is identified by the Investigating Officer. She states that she has settled the matter with the petitioner. She states that the above-noted FIR was lodged in some

CRL.M.C. 1925/2016

page 1 of 2

confusion and the parties have settled the matter and thus she does not wish to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

Consequently, FIR No. 31/14 under Sections 354D/506/509IPC read with Section 66A of the IT Act registered at PS Jamia Nagar, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

The petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

SEPTEMBER 22, 2016
‘ga’