

\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 2070/2008**

SURENDER JAIN

..... Plaintiff

Through: Plaintiff in person.

versus

DARSHAN LAL JAIN

..... Defendant

Through: Mr. K.B.B.Singh, Adv. for L.Rs of D-1.
Mr. Anuj Jain, Adv. for L.R-9 of D-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

% **10.05.2016**

1. Yesterday on 9.5.2016 a detailed order was passed as to how a statement of witness cannot change the valuation fixed in the plaint. As per the plaint, the pecuniary jurisdiction value is Rs.21 lacs, and therefore, this Court would not have pecuniary jurisdiction.

2. An Office Order dated 24.11.2015 has been issued by Hon'ble the Chief Justice in exercise of powers conferred by Section 4 of the Delhi High Court (Amendment) Act, 2015, whereby ordinary suits which are not commercial matters having pecuniary jurisdiction up to the value of rupees

CS(OS) 2070/2008

page 1 of 2

two crores cannot be tried by this Court and commercial matters up to the value of rupees one crore cannot be tried by this Court. Accordingly, this suit is transferred for decision to the jurisdictional Court under the District & Sessions Judge (North West), Rohini Courts, Delhi.

3. Let parties appear before the District & Sessions Judge (North West), Rohini Courts, Delhi on 7th July, 2016. Suit file be made available to the District & Sessions Judge (North West), Rohini Courts, Delhi on the date fixed.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

MAY 10, 2016

ib