

\$~40

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 2859/2012**

ATUL AGARWAL

..... Plaintiff

Through: **Mr. Vinay Gautam, Advocate.**

versus

M/S ASCENT INFRACON PVT LTD & ORS

..... Defendants

Through

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

% **30.05.2016**

I.A. Nos.6804/2016 (under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC), 6805/2016 (condonation of delay) & 6806/2016 (exemption)

1. The pecuniary jurisdiction of the suit when decreed was Rs.49,94,380/-. This Court will not therefore have pecuniary jurisdiction to try the present application (I.A. No.6804/2016) under Order 9 Rule 13 of Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (CPC). These applications therefore will be decided by the concerned transferee court.

2. An Office Order dated 24.11.2015 has been issued by Hon'ble the Chief Justice in exercise of powers conferred by Section 4 of the Delhi High Court (Amendment) Act, 2015, whereby ordinary suits which are not

commercial matters having pecuniary jurisdiction up to the value of rupees two crores cannot be tried by this Court and commercial matters up to the value of rupees one crore cannot be tried by this Court. Accordingly, these applications are transferred for decision to the jurisdictional Court under the District & Sessions Judge (East), Karkardooma Courts, Delhi.

3. Let parties appear before the District & Sessions Judge (East), Karkardooma Courts, Delhi on 27th July, 2016. Suit file be made available to the District & Sessions Judge (East), Karkardooma Courts, Delhi on the date fixed.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

MAY 30, 2016

Ne