

\$~56

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 666/2016**

MILAN RANA

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Rashmi Chopra and Ms. Asiya,
Advocates

versus

SAUMYA GUPTA & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Sharma and Mr. Ankit
Roy, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.R. MIDHA

ORDER

% **24.05.2016**

C.M. Appl. 20022/2016

Allowed, subject to just exceptions.

CONT.CAS(C) 666/2016

1. Vide judgment dated 05th May, 2015 in L.P.A. No.274/2015, the Division Bench of this Court observed that the petitioner would be entitled to claim under the policy of Government of NCT of Delhi for wages to be paid to her in her current status as an *ad hoc* teacher or contractual teacher. Para 13 of the judgment dated 05th May, 2015 is reproduced hereunder:

“13. We have requested Ms. Rashmi Chopra, Advocate, present in Court, to heed the appellant who would be entitled to claim under the policy of the Government of NCT of Delhi for wages to be paid to her even in her current status as an ad-hoc or contractual employee.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents have paid the wages to the petitioner as a guest teacher and not as a contractual

teacher and the petitioner would be entitled to more amount. The petitioner is also claiming parity for regularisation given to another teacher named Harbhajan Kaur.

3. After some hearing learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this petition to avail appropriate legal remedies available to the petitioner in accordance with law.

4. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to avail appropriate legal remedies.

J.R. MIDHA, J.

MAY 24, 2016

rsk