

\$~30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.REV.P. 421/2011

NARESH KOHLI

..... Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

CHARANJIT MADAN

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Anirudh K.Mudgal, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE PRATIBHA RANI

ORDER

%

14.01.2016

1. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner despite the matter being passed over.
2. On the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner sought time to file the documents required to be furnished and matter was adjourned for today making it clear to the learned counsel for the petitioner that since it is an old matter, if the documents are not filed before the next date of hearing, the present petition would be liable to be dismissed.
3. Till date no document has been filed by the petitioner.
4. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present petition. The petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

PRATIBHA RANI, J.

JANUARY 14, 2016

‘st’