

\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 4513/2016 and C.M. No.18825/2016 (for directions by petitioners)

MOHAMMED ABDUNNAFIH K V AND ORS Petitioners
Through: Ms. Bina Madhavan, Advocate with
Ms. Swati Bhardwaj, Advocate.

versus

THE UNION OF INDIA Respondent
Through: Mr. Akshay Makhija, CGSC with Mr.
Shivi Sanyam, Advocate, Ms.
Mahima Bahl, Advocate and Mr.
Siddharth, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

% **29.11.2016**

1. Petitioners claim service benefits on account of their employment with Union of India. Counsel for the respondent/Union of India disputes the facts stated in the writ petition, but since, the benefits claimed by filing this writ petition are on account of services rendered by the petitioners with the Union of India as claimed by the petitioners, therefore, this Court would not have territorial jurisdiction in view of para 99 of the Constitution Bench judgment of the Supreme Court in the case of *L.*

W.P.(C) No.4513/2016

page 1 of 2

Chandra Kumar vs. Union of India & Ors. AIR 1997 SC 1125.

2. At this stage, at the request made on behalf of the petitioners, this writ petition is transferred for decision to Central Administrative Tribunal (CAT), Principal Bench, New Delhi. Parties to appear before the Registrar of CAT, Principal Bench, New Delhi on 15th December, 2016. Registry to ensure that file of this case is available to Registrar of CAT on the date fixed.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

NOVEMBER 29, 2016

Ne

W.P.(C) No.4513/2016

page 2 of 2