

\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO(OS) 234/2016**

RAVINDER KAUR CHAWLA Appellant
Through: Mr Aviral Tiwari, Advocate

versus

IQBAL SINGH SABHARWAL & ORS Respondents
Through: Mr.Ashish Dixit, Adv for R-2
Mr Subhash Chand, Advocate for R-5
Mr Amit Kumar and Mr Mohit Arora,
Advocates for R-10
Mr Rohit Sharma, Advocate for R-11

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BADAR DURREZ AHMED

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT NATH

ORDER

% **07.11.2016**

CM No.28470/2016 (for condonation of delay)

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The delay is condoned.

The application is disposed of.

FAO(OS) 234/2016 and CM No.28469/2016

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

It is the case of the appellant that her share in the subject property is one-twelfth. The value of her share comes to Rs.20 lacs. Therefore, according to the learned counsel for the appellant, the value of the subject property would be Rs.2.4 Crores. It is also further submitted that for the purpose of jurisdiction, the value of the subject property at Rs.2.4 Crores has to be considered.

The learned counsel for the respondents also have no objection to this submission.

Since this would fall within the pecuniary jurisdiction of this Court, the appeal is allowed and the suit shall be tried before the Original Side of this Court.

The learned counsel for the appellant shall, in order to avoid any controversy, carry out appropriate amendments in the plaint.

The suit shall be listed before the concerned learned Single Judge in the first instance on 15.11.2016. We request the learned single Judge also to expedite the progress in the suit inasmuch as defendant No.2 is a senior citizen of about 82 years of age.

The appeal stands disposed of.

BADAR DURREZ AHMED, J

JAYANT NATH, J

NOVEMBER 07, 2016

SV