

\$~19 & 20

(Common Orders)

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LPA 282/2016

MA KHAN YUSUFI CIC

..... Appellant

Versus

P ROYCHAUDHARI AND ORS

..... Respondents

+ LPA 283/2016

MA KHAN YUSUFI CIC

..... Appellant

Versus

P ROYCHAUDHARI AND ORS

..... Respondents

Counsel for the appellant: Mr.Sanjeev Narula, CGSC with
Mr.Anshuman Upadhyay, Adv.

Counsel for the respondent: Mr.Rakesh Tikku, Sr.Adv. with
Mr.Amit Sinha, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JAYANT NATH

ORDER

% **06.05.2016**

C.M.No.16683/2016 (exemption) in LPA 282/2016

C.M.No.16748/2016 (exemption) in LPA 283/2016

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

LPA 282/2016 & C.Ms.No.16682, 16684/2016

LPA 283/2016 & C.Ms.No.16747, 16749/2016

1. These two appeals are preferred against the order of the learned Single Judge dated 07.04.2016 in W.P.(C) Nos.3077/2016 and 3078/2016 directing to list all cases of the writ petitioner before an Information Commissioner other than the respondent No.3/appellant herein till the next date of hearing, i.e., 19.05.2016.

2. Having heard the learned counsel for the appellant and having regard

to the fact that the impugned order is only an interim order upto 19.05.2016 and that the writ petitions stand posted before the learned Single Judge on 19.05.2016 for further hearing, we do not consider it appropriate to go into the merits of the case and express any opinion as to the grounds raised in the present appeals.

3. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, both the appeals are disposed of leaving it open to the appellant to urge all the grounds raised in the present appeals before the learned Single Judge on the date fixed for hearing of the writ petitions.

CHIEF JUSTICE

JAYANT NATH, J

MAY 06, 2016/pmc