

\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 3880/2016

MASTER ARYAN MITTAL

..... Petitioner

Through Mr.Apurb Lal with Ms.Meenu
Pandey, Md.Ayub Sarbar and
Mr.Pawan Kumar, Advocates.

versus

DELHI PUBLIC SCHOOL & ANR

..... Respondents

Through Mr.Puneet Mittal, Advocate for R-1.
Ms.Iram Majid, Advocate for R-
2/GNCTD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

ORDER

%

12.05.2016

Present writ petition has been filed challenging the expulsion letter dated 21st April, 2016 whereby name of the minor petitioner name has been struck off from the school rolls on the ground that the income certificate forwarded by his parents was fake and forged.

Learned counsel for petitioner states that even fresh income certificate of the parents of the minor petitioner still disclose their actual income as less than Rs.1 lakh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner also relies upon the orders dated 21st January, 2016 and 26th February, 2016 passed by this Court in W.P.(C) 557/2016, wherein this Court directed the school authorities not to cancel the admissions of the minors on the ground of the misdeeds of their fathers.

Learned counsel for the respondent-School states that the seats in EWS category are still available with it and the minor petitioner can be accommodated in the school.

Learned counsel for GNCTD states that recently the GNCTD has simplified the procedure for issuance of an income certificate. She also confirms that the new valid income certificate is genuine. She has handed over a copy of verification certificate issued by the Tehsildar/Executive Magistrate, Defence Colony, District South East, New Delhi. The same is taken on record.

As the issue involves the education of a minor and genuine income certificate has subsequently been furnished and since no fault can be attributed to the minor, this Court takes a lenient view and directs that the admission of the minor petitioner be restored and not cancelled subject to a penalty of Rs. 5,000/- to be paid to Lok Nayak Jai Prakash Hospital, Delhi within a period of two weeks.

This Court may mention that in the case of ***Master Jai Raikwar and Ors. Vs. The Heritage School and Ors., W.P.(C) No.2219/2016***, it has allowed a similar writ petition.

Needless to say that the minor petitioner shall be entitled to all the benefits/entitlements under the said group. However, this Court clarifies that it has not expressed any opinion with regard to the criminal proceedings.

With the aforesaid directions, present writ petitions stand disposed of.

MANMOHAN, J

MAY 12, 2016/KA