

\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1743/2016

SHAHNAWAZ @ BHURA & ANR Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. S.L. Kashyap, Adv.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Hirein Sharma, APP

Mr.J.G.Goswami, Adv.for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

% **26.09.2016**

By the present petition, petitioner No.1 seeks quashing of FIR No.108/2012 under Section 363 IPC registered at PS Mianwali Nagar on the complaint of respondent No.2 who is father of petitioner No.2 and petitioner No.2 is a victim.

Learned APP for the State points out that after investigation since petitioner No.2 was a minor, charge sheet for offences punishable under Sections 376/174A IPC besides Section 363 IPC has been filed against petitioner No.1.

For the offences invoked being serious in nature, the above-noted FIR cannot be quashed in view of the decision of the Supreme Court reported as 2012 (9) Scale 257: 2012 (10) SCC 303 Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr. and (2014) 6 SCC 466 Narinder Singh and Ors.vs. State of Punjab and Anr.

Petition is dismissed.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

SEPTEMBER 26, 2016/‘v mittal’