

\$~R-19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ MAT.APP.(F.C.) 68/2016

HIND KUMAR YADAV

..... Appellant

Represented by : Mr.Satyam Sisodia, Advocate
with appellant in person.

versus

PINKI YADAV

..... Respondent

Represented by : None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP NANDRAJOG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YOGESH KHANNA

ORDER

%

10.11.2016

CM No.17026/2016

For the reasons stated in the application 20 days delay in filing the appeal is condoned.

Application is allowed.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 68/2016

1. Mr.Satyam Sisodia, Advocates appears alongwith the appellant. The appeal has been filed by B.S.Rana & Associates. We have perused the vakalatnama and find that Mr.Satyam Sosodia has also signed the vakalatnama in token of accepting the brief.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant in presence of appellant states that

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 68/2016

page 1 of 2

he and the respondent have agreed to annul their marriage by obtaining a decree for divorce by consent. The settlement is that the appellant shall pay ₹20,00,000/- (Rupees Twenty Lakh only) to the respondent in instalments and at the stage contemplated by the settlement. Counsel states that in terms of the settlement the parties have to move the first motion followed by the second motion contemplated by law seeking annulment of the marriage by consent and have linked the stages of paying ₹20,00,000/- (Rupees Twenty Lakh only) to the two above apart from other stages.

3. Accordingly, learned counsel for appellant prays that the instant appeal may be permitted to be withdrawn.

4. The appeal is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn.

5. The order has been dictated in presence of the counsel and the appellant. Their signatures have been obtained on the order after they had read the order in token of the acceptance of the contents thereof.

6. No costs.

7. TCR be returned.

PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, J.

YOGESH KHANNA, J.

NOVEMBER 10, 2016

skb