

19# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1726/2016

VIRENDER KUMAR & ORS

..... Petitioners

Represented by: Mr. Naseem Ahmad, Advocate
with petitioners in person.

versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI) & ANR Respondents

Represented by: Ms. Meenakshi Chauhan, APP
for the State with SI Manish
Kumar Spl. Cell/NDR, Lodhi
Colony and SI Vinod Kumar,
CAW Cell/East.
Ms. Pnki Rani, Mr. Deepak
Aggarwal, Advocates with
respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

30.09.2016

By the present petition the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 751/2012 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Shakarpur, Delhi on the complaint of Respondent No.2 and the proceedings pursuant thereto on the ground that the parties have settled the matter.

Learned APP for the State submits that in the above noted FIR the three petitioners are the only accused and the respondent No.2 is the only complainant/victim.

The complainant/Respondent No. 2 Ms. Purnima who is present in
CRL.M.C. 1726/2016

Page 1 of 3

Court and is identified by the learned counsel and the Investigating Officer states that the Petitioners and Respondent No.2/Complainant have settled the matter in terms of the compromise deed dated 25th August, 2015. In terms of the settlement marriage between the Petitioner No. 1 and Respondent No. 2/complainant has been dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent. As full and final settlement of all the claims, that is, maintenance, streedhan and alimony etc. of the Respondent No. 2, the Petitioner No. 1 has paid a sum of ₹1.50 lakhs. Respondent No. 2 accepts receipt of payment of ₹1.50 lakhs, in lieu of settlement of all her claims towards the petitioners and she will make no claim for her maintenance. She also states that she does not want to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

Petitioners who are present in Court and are identified by the learned counsel affirm the statement of respondent No.2 and state that they would abide by the terms of the Compromise Deed dated 25th August, 2015.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

Consequently, FIR No. 751/2012 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Shakarpur, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

The petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

‘vn’