

\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ BAIL APPLN. 846/2016

PALVINDER SINGH @ LADDI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Rakesh Baniwal, Advocate.

versus

THE STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Ms.Kusum Dhalla, APP for the State
with SI Jagdish Narain, PS Vijay
Vihar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE PRATIBHA RANI

ORDER

%

09.05.2016

1. This is an application moved by the petitioner under Section 438 CrPC seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.296/2016 under Section 308/34 IPC, PS Vijay Vihar, Delhi.

2. Heard.

3. The FIR in this case has been registered on the basis of statement made by Kuldeep, who is brother-in-law of main accused Raj Kumar. As per the FIR, Raj Kumar, who is brother-in-law (Jija) of complainant Kuldeep, demanded ₹50,000/- from the complainant for which he refused. On this, a quarrel took place. Two other persons namely Billu and Laddi – friends of Raj Kumar were also present there and while both of them caught the complainant, his Jija Raj Kumar gave beatings to him with a danda.

4. As per the MLC, the complainant Kuldeep suffered laceration behind

BAIL APPLN. 846/2016

Page 1 of 2

right ear 1 cm X 5 cm X .5 cm, bruised (red) all over body, two laceration over right parietal region approx. 4 cm X 1 cm X 1 cm, one laceration over right parietal region approx. 2 cm X .5 cm X 5 cm. Opinion about the nature of injury was reserved.

5. Taking into consideration the role attributed to the present petitioner Palvinder Singh @ Laddi i.e. he alongwith co-accused Billu caught hold the complainant while main accused Raj Kumar gave beatings to him and that the injuries allegedly caused have been attributed to the main accused Raj Kumar, it is directed that in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on bail on his furnishing person bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety to the satisfaction of IO/SHO concerned. However, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required by the IO/SHO concerned

6. The bail application stands disposed of.

7. The observations made above are only for the purpose of deciding the bail application and shall not be considered as an expression on merits.

As prayed, copy of the order be given dasti to learned counsel for the parties.

PRATIBHA RANI, J.

MAY 09, 2016

'st'