$~
* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
6.
\+ **ITA 80/2016 & CM No.2033/2016**

COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX-DELHI-IX ..... Appellant
Through: Mr Rahul Chaudhry, Senior Standing Counsel with Mr Raghvendra Singh, Junior Standing Counsel.

versus

KRISHNA SACHDEVA ..... Respondent

CORAM:
JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR
JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

O R D E R

% **27.01.2016**

1. There is a delay of 490 days in re-filing the appeal. The explanation offered is the standard one regarding the practice directions issued by this Court for e-filing of the appeals. As has already been observed by this Court in several orders, the practice directions were issued after consultation with the bar and after giving sufficient time for the bar to get acquainted with the requirement of e-filing. Additionally, the Court has also provided scanning machines at the filing counter so that no difficulty is caused to the bar for switching over to the system of e-filing. In any event, the delay of over one year on this ground is wholly unacceptable. Consequently, the Court is not

persuaded to condone the extraordinary delay of 490 days in re-filing the appeal. CM No.2033 of 2016 is dismissed.

2. Consequently, the appeal is dismissed.

S.MURALIDHAR, J

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

JANUARY 27, 2016
MK