

\$~30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CM(M) 359/2016

SHANTI DEVI

..... Petitioner

Through

Mr.Lohitaksha Shukla, Adv.

versus

NEM CHANAD

..... Respondent

Through

Nemo.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDERMEET KAUR

O R D E R

%

22.04.2016

C.M.No.14242/2016 (exemption)

Exemption is allowed subject to just exceptions. Application disposed of.

CM(M) 359/2016 & C.M. No.14243/2016 (stay)

Petitioner is aggrieved by the finding returned in the impugned order dated 10.12.2015 vide which his application filed by him under Order XIV Rule 5 of the CPC seeking framing of certain additional issues (as detailed in para 4 of the said application) had been refused. The Trial Court has correctly noted that issue no.1 which was framed on 29.3.2015 will encompass all these issues.

The Trial Court shall ensure that all these issues now sought to be raised by way of this application will be dealt with while disposing of issue no.1.

With these directions, petition disposed of.

Order dasti under signatures of the Court Master.

INDERMEET KAUR, J

APRIL 22, 2016/ndn