

\$~36

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 2503/2015 & CM Nos. 4469/2015, 8010/2015 & 8011/2015

DAYAWANTI AND ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Ms Esha Mazumdar and Mr Setuniket,
Advocates

versus

LT. GOVERNOR OF DELHI AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Yeeshu Jain and Ms Jyoti Tyagi, Advocates
for L&B/LAC
Mr Sanjeev Sabharwal and Mr Hem Kumar,
Advocates for DDA

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BADAR DURREZ AHMED

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

ORDER

%

16.05.2016

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties. We are satisfied that the petitioner in this writ petition was before this Court in the earlier round as also before the Supreme Court, either in its own name or represented through its predecessor-in-interest. As a result, the case of the petitioner is entirely covered by the decision of this Court in **Sunil Goel & Ors. v. The State & Ors.**, W.P. (C) No. 3049/2013, decided on 29.4.2014, along with other connected matters.

Consequently, the declaration dated 20.03.2013 being F.10(29)/96/L&B/LA/19599 under Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 is quashed insofar as the petitioner is concerned. As a result, the notification dated 27.10.1999 being Notification No. F.10(29)/96/L&B/LA/11394 issued under Section 4 of the said Act, insofar as the petitioner's lands are concerned, would be

regarded as having lapsed. The writ petition is allowed as above. There shall be no orders as to costs. All applications also stand disposed of.

BADAR DURREZ AHMED, J

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

MAY 16, 2016

rs