

\$~2 and 3

41

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 1631/2007**

SHRI SURAJ BHAN BANSAL & ANR. Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. S.C. Singhal, Advocate.

versus

SHRI RAM GOYAL & ANR.

..... Defendants

Through: None.

+ **CS(OS) 1635/2007**

SHRI SURAJ BHAN BANSAL & ANR.

..... Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. S.C. Singhal, Advocate.

versus

SHRI NARESH KUMAR GUPTA & ANR.

..... Defendants

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

%

14.01.2016

1. Counsel for the plaintiffs states that suits have to be withdrawn in view of the settlement before the Delhi High Court Mediation & Conciliation Centre.

2. Ordinarily, I would not have pecuniary jurisdiction to decide the suits but since the suits are prayed to be withdrawn, I exercise my

CS(OS) Nos.1631/2007 & 1635/2007

page 1 of 2

42

powers under Section 24 of Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (CPC) read with Article 227 of the Constitution of India and the suits are accordingly allowed to be withdrawn, as prayed.

3. Since the suits are withdrawn before recording of evidence, plaintiffs in view of Section 16-A of the Court-fees Act, 1870 (as applicable to Delhi) are entitled to refund of 50% of the Court fees. Registry will issue the necessary certificate in favour of the plaintiffs.


VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

JANUARY 14, 2016
Ne