

\$~26/27

32

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CM(M) 982/2010 , CM Appl. Nos. 13512/2010 & 4809/2015

GAURAV KATYAL Petitioner
Through :Mr. Prashant Mendiratta and Ms.
Poonam Mendiratta, Advs.
versus

SUNAINA KATYAL Respondent
Through :Mr. Anish Bhola, Adv. with
respondent in person

AND

+ CM(M) 498/2011

SUNAINA KATYAL & ANR Petitioners
Through Mr. Anish Bhola, Adv. with petitioner
no. 1 in person
versus

GAURAV KATYAL Respondent
Through Mr. Prashant Mendiratta and Ms.
Poonam Mendiratta, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

03.03.2016

Mr. Gaurav Katyal (Husband) has challenged the order dated 23rd March, 2010 passed by the trial court, whereby maintenance @ ₹20,000/- per month has been awarded to Ms. Sunaina Katyal (Wife).

As per the petitioner maintenance, as fixed by the trial court, is on the higher side. Wife has also challenged the same order. According to her

33

higher amount ought to have been awarded. Besides the interim maintenance, litigation expenses of ₹33,000/- have also been awarded.

During the course of hearing, a consensus has been arrived at between the parties and it is agreed that maintenance amount be reduced from ₹20,000/- per month to ₹15,000/- per month. As agreed, the impugned order is modified to the extent that petitioner shall pay maintenance @ ₹15,000/- per month instead of ₹20,000/- per month from the date of application till final disposal of the case. Litigation expenses awarded by the trial court shall remain as it is. Arrears be cleared within four weeks. Current maintenance be paid on 10th day of every calendar month.

Both the petitions are disposed of in the above terms.

Amount, lying deposited in this Court, be released to the respondent along with interest accrued thereon. Needless to add that the amount so released, will give an adjustment of against the arrears of the maintenance.

Miscellaneous applications are disposed of as infructuous.


A.K. PATHAK, J.

MARCH 03, 2016

rb