

\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1349/2016

NEENA SHAH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr Tanveer Ahmed Mir, Mr Arvind
Nayar and Mr Dhruv Gupta Advocates.

versus

STATE THROUGH NCT OF DELHI & ANR Respondents

Through: Mr Ashish Dutta, APP with SI
Bhoopendra Kumar, PS- EOW.
Ms Ruchika Mittal, Advocate for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SIDDHARTH MRIDUL

ORDER

%

19.05.2016

Mr Mir, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, seeks leave to withdraw this petition as the same may have been rendered infructuous in view of subsequent developments.

The petition is dismissed as withdrawn and disposed of accordingly.

However, after hearing learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent No.2, it is considered appropriate to request the concerned court where the application under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, filed on behalf of the respondent No.2 is pending, to hear and dispose of the same as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.

SIDDHARTH MRIDUL, J

MAY 19, 2016

mk