

\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 1584/2008 & I.A.435/09 (U/o 12 R 6 by plaintiff) & I.A
6447/2011(U/o 7 Rule 11 CPC by plaintiff)

SMT. RAJKUMARI GARG

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. G.S.Raghav and Mr. Pankaj
Kumar, Advocates.

versus

STATE BANK OF PATIALA

..... Defendant

Through

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

% **13.01.2016**

1. Though counsel for the plaintiff contends that this is a commercial dispute, however, reference to Section 2(1)(c) of the Commercial Courts, Commercial Division and Commercial Appellate Division of High Courts Act, 2015 shows that the present suit is not a commercial dispute because the same does not fall in any of the sub-Sections of Section 2(1)(c).

2. An Office Order dated 24.11.2015 has been issued by Hon'ble the Chief Justice in exercise of powers conferred by Section 4 of the Delhi High Court (Amendment) Act, 2015, whereby ordinary suits which are not

CS(OS) 1584/2008

page 1 of 2

commercial matters having pecuniary jurisdiction up to the value of rupees two crores cannot be tried by this Court and commercial matters up to the value of rupees one crore cannot be tried by this Court. Accordingly, this suit is transferred for decision to the jurisdictional Court under the District & Sessions Judge (South East) Saket Courts, New Delhi.

3. Let parties appear before the District & Sessions Judge (South East) Saket Courts, New Delhi, on 1st March, 2016. Suit file be made available to the District & Sessions Judge (South East) Saket Courts, New Delhi on the date fixed.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

JANUARY 13, 2016
ib

CS(OS) 1584/2008

page 2 of 2